Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/584,226	BLUM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1783
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Under Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Patricia L. Nordmeyer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Sean Mellino</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 April 2010	Time: <u>4:15 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
3, 5, 11 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Patricia L. Nordmeyer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1783 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Mellino with regard to amending claims 3 and 5 to clarify which layer of the sealing tape contained the claimed compositional makeup. Claims 11 and 12 are being cancelled as they are part of divisional application directed toards the method of making..